

SomaticSenses.com Newsletter - October 2008

Preliminary Investigation into a Seated Unloading Movement Strategy for the Lumbar Spine

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2008.06.008>

*Commentary from the lead author and other spinal unloading strategies by Jerome Fryer
BSc DC(hons)*

Intervertebral disc height loss is considered by many as an indication of intervertebral hydraulic dysfunction. In scientific circles, decompression or unloading is a common theme in the pursuit of treatments associated with this loss and function. These interventions range from conservative to surgical treatments with varying success.

To date it is undisputed that lesions to intervertebral discs are intricately tied to pain syndromes--from annular tears to sequestered disc herniations. But understanding the real-time nature of intervertebral discs has been difficult to measure. Recumbent 3T MRI has played a significant power tool in the identification of diagnostic disc lesions and in turn, given us a snap-shot in time of possible reasons why patients may hurt in functional dynamic settings. The advent of upright MRI is becoming more useful as it able to image biological tissues under load but work is required to increase the power of the magnets to increase imaging resolution.

Recently, research popularity associated with sustained loads has surfaced in the literature. For example, Little et al. found that sustained loads on the lumbar spinal discs caused mechanobiological creep to occur at a faster rate when compared to repetitive flexion loads.ⁱ Others have found "static compressive loading initiates a number of harmful responses in a dose-dependent way".ⁱⁱ Furthermore, it is beginning to appear that the hydraulic nature are dependent on the *intravertebral* pressures that contribute to an outward pressure into the disc(s) helping; encouraging intervertebral spacing. These

improvements of in vitro studies have shown intervertebral disc creep to differ greatly when pressures within vertebrae are accounted for.ⁱⁱⁱ Ultimately, intervertebral discs are the largest avascular tissue in the body and require a nutrient route be as dynamic as possible without at risk to injury. It is through endplate transport where the disc acquires the vast majority of its nutrient supply.^{iv} More recent research has demonstrated an increase in oxygen levels in dynamically loaded discs when compared to static loading.^v

Therefore, if we know the route of nutrition is through endplates, understanding the time related hydraulic mechanics that encourage facilitative influx and associated efflux, to and from these discs, is of paramount importance. The purpose of my recently published article is to begin this discussion: At what frequency should the discs be unloaded? Should the disc wait for sleep/recumbancy to unload the compressive diurnal effects of gravity load or should these discs be unloaded more frequently throughout the day? Interestingly, the natural act of shifting while sitting very often involves a spinal unloading moment when someone utilizes the upper extremities.

Chair-Care

This lumbar unloading movement strategy was developed in 1998 and was put into clinical chiropractic practice between 1999-2008. This exercise was primarily recommended as a temporal decompressive creep strategy to those patients with low back pain related to sitting...with the clinical desire to deliver more nutrients and hydraulic structure to lumbar intervertebral discs. The clinical outcomes have been good and difficult to ignore. This was the impetus of the recent publication in the Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies. Weaving current understandings of intervertebral disc mechanobiology with concepts of joint stability, this exercise called 'chair care' (Figure 1 & 2) appears to encompass many fundamental therapeutic principles. This unloading strategy resembles a montage of four different treatment styles: McKenzie, Cox flexion, intermittent traction, and abdominal bracing (McGill 2002) and combines them into a simple seated exercise that can be done almost anywhere and *anytime*. It is also similar to an observed orthopedic disc sign called Minors when the upper extremities are utilized in the desirable act of lower spinal unloading.




Figure 1. A seated anti-axial-creep movement strategy, chair care

Instructions: Press into the seat cushion with your hands and relax the lower back while creating a distraction moment in the lumbar spine. The majority (approx. 60-80%) of your full weight should be supported by the shoulder girdles. Be sure to keep the chin retracted and arms externally rotated. Hold for 5 seconds. Most people feel a stretching in the lower back while the weight is “taken off” the lumbar spine. Gently return to neutral sitting posture for 1-3 seconds, allowing the full weight to be re-supported by the spine. Repeat 4 times.

NOTE: Advanced users: abdominal bracing (as per McGill, 2002 p.210) engagement prior and during the return to the resting neutral phase. Relaxation from bracing should take place upon the anti-axial-creep (decompression) phase of this exercise to relieve the buttressing effect and allow full recompression of the spine. (this advanced level was not explained for this study)




Figure 2. Relative force vectors

Since the published pilot study of “chair-care”, I have since designed options for people who require time dependent lumbar unloading while standing (Standing Decompression; Figure 3) and/or during recumbancy (Supine Decompression; Figure 4). The optimal rate of unloading

to loading is still something researchers must determine. My follow-up work with upright MRI has suggested that the optimal rate of spinal unloading to loading should be performed more frequent than what has been previously thought. Preliminary data analysis suggests that unloading, whether it is through these unloading strategies, or even through the act of shifting using the upper extremities to unload the lower spine, should occur every 8-10 minutes! More systematic research must be done.



Copyright © 2007 FRYER

Figure 3. Standing Lower Spine Decompression





Figure 4. Supine Lower Spine Decompression

All in all, humans naturally unload and possibly should unload more frequently. Whether it is in the form of chair-care or other unloading strategies, using the upper extremities to relieve the lower spine of the constant axial loads of gravity requires a careful investigation; *time* being an important variable. Interestingly, knuckle walkers demonstrate much less spinal osteoarthritis compared to bipedals.^{vi} Could it be due to the frequency of spinal unloading?

Words of caution are warranted in any highly inflammatory states of spinal disease with decompression. Be mindful that this study and the concepts presented in this article are preliminary in nature. Use your clinical senses.

ⁱ Little J, Khalsa P Human lumbar creep during cyclic and static flexion: creep rate, biomechanics, and facet joint capsule strain. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* (2005) Vol 33, No. 3 March 391-401.

ⁱⁱ Lotz JC, Colliou OK, Duncan NA, Liebenberg E Compression-induced degeneration of the intervertebral disc: an in vivo mouse model and finite-element study. *Spine*. (1998) 23, 2493-506.

ⁱⁱⁱ Huber G, Morlock MM, Ito K, Consistent hydration of intervertebral discs during in vitro testing. *Medical Engineering & Physics* 29 (2007) 808-813.

^{iv} Urban J P, Smith S, Fairbank J Nutrition of the intervertebral disc *Spine* (2004) Vol 29, No 23 2700-2709.

^v Huang, C.-Y., Gu, W.Y. Effects of mechanical compression on metabolism and distribution of oxygen and lactate in intervertebral disc. *Journal of Biomechanics* (2008) 41, 1184-1196.

^{vi} Jurmain R Degenerative joint disease in African great apes: an evolutionary perspective *Journal of Human Evolution* (2000) 39, 185-203.